

**Valkaria Airport Master Plan Update
Public “Kickoff” Meeting Public Input Summary
Tuesday, April 25, 2006**

GENERAL

The meeting began and ended at the scheduled times, and all persons wishing to speak were allowed to do so. Some persons had multiple speaking opportunities. A total of 60 persons signed the attendee roster, plus there were three FIT-CAMD consultants, three student helpers, and the airport manager present. A total of 37 attendees completed and submitted the pink “Comment/Evaluation” forms for the meeting prior to departure from the meeting. Several persons said they would complete and mail forms later, but no additional forms have been received as of May 8, 2006. Numbers attributed to responses may not total 100%, because all respondents did not give a response to all questions.

COMMENT/EVALUATION SUMMARY

1. How did you first hear of this meeting?
 - E-mailing (unspecified source): 11
 - E-mailing (MAPA): 7
 - E-mailing (EAA): 2
 - Word-of-mouth: 9
 - County letter notification: 4
 - Valkaria Neighborhood Ass’n (unspecified medium): 3
2. Did you see any announcement in local newspapers?
 - Yes: 4
 - No: 33
3. Did you visit the Master Plan Update web page before attending this meeting?
 - Yes: 11
 - No: 23
4. Did the meeting follow the published agenda?
 - Yes: 30
 - No: 1
5. Was the meeting venue adequate?
 - Yes: 32
 - No: 2
6. Was the meeting generally productive?
 - Yes: 32
 - No: 0
7. Do you have any suggestions for future public meetings during this planning process?
 - “Persons in audience video-taping is a distraction.”
 - “Keep’em short and concise.”

- “I would like to wait and see how comments/recommendations that were addressed tonight are brought back at next meeting.”
 - “The first meeting was well done.”
 - “Longer speaker time, more public input.”
 - “Well run.”
 - “Keep it up—the same way.”
 - “Increase speaking time to 3-minutes. Strictly enforced.”
 - “No.”
 - “Format worked very well & Dr. Barker did a great job of explaining & being helpful to explain things.”
 - “More feedback—mostly feedout.”
8. Did you submit a Public Comment Form based on your attendance at this meeting?
- Yes: 25
 - No: 8
9. Did you feel like you were listened to, regardless of your point?
- Yes: 23
 - No: 2
 - Somewhat: 1
10. Do you intend to come to future public meetings regarding the Valkaria Airport Master Plan Update?
- Yes: 36
 - No: 0
11. One respondent added five extensive “perspectives & impressions” to the reverse side of the form. Those comments generally reflected that the respondent feels the study will be biased toward the aviation community; “no one” from the residential community is invited to “actively” participate; that strategies can be formed without input from the community; that little confidence has been instilled that “reassuring” statements will be reflected in outcomes; and that the process is “out of the sunshine.”