

MARINA PARK REFERENDUM COMMITTEE MINUTES

Minutes of the Marina Park Referendum Committee (MPRC), appointed by the North Brevard Commission on Parks & Recreation (NBCPR), an advisory board, held Monday, August 16, 2007, in the Brevard Room of the Brevard County Government Complex-North.

Members Present

Paul Nydam, Chairman
Charles "Pat" Lafferty, Vice Chairman
Susan Canada
JiJi Connolly
Martha Long
Bill Powell
Francis Reilly

Liaisons—Ex-Officio Members Present

Mark Leslie, City of Titusville
Dick Gossett, NBCPR

Brevard County Staff Present

Don Lusk, Parks & Recreation Director
Cheryl K. Page, Assistant Parks & Recreation Director
Terry A. Lane, North Area Parks Operations Manager
Richard Tucci, Recreation Superintendent
Chuck Mays, Construction Coordinator
Judy Inman, Administrative Secretary

I. CALL TO ORDER & ROLL CALL

Chairman Paul Nydam called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. All members were present.

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Mark Leslie stated he did not make the inquiry on page one, second column, third paragraph in the June 18, 2007, meeting. Also in the last paragraph of first column of page three, when he commented about a fee for launching boats, he mentioned it was related to property tax reforms. Susan Canada asked the secretary to listen to the tape to determine who made the inquiry on page one and add the reference to tax reforms on page three. Francis Reilly withdrew his motion and Martha Long withdrew her second to approve minutes

Motion by Susan Canada, seconded by Bill Powell, to transfer the approval of the June 18, 2007, minutes of the Marina Park Referendum Committee to the next meeting.

AYE: Canada, Connolly, Lafferty, Long, Nydam, Powell, Reilly

NAY: None **Carried 7-0**

III. STAFF REPORTS—None

IV. OLD BUSINESS

A. Mooring Fields—Dr. Robert A. Swett, Geographer, University of Florida, Florida Sea Grant Waterways Specialist. Terry Lane introduced Dr. Swett who was invited to give educational information on mooring fields. Dr. Swett gave a PowerPoint presentation on the Florida Sea Grant and public access to waterways in Florida. Local contacts in the County are Jim Fletcher

Florida Extension Service, and Ernie Brown with the environmental program to develop a comprehensive waterway master plan for Brevard County.

Dr. Swett reported Florida Sea Grant is a federal institution within NOAA (National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration). The Florida program started over 30 years ago and is housed at the University of Florida and works with local governments statewide, trying to balance practical use and conservation of resources through research, extension and communications. Florida is #1 in the nation on boating registration, with people coming from all over the country, and that doesn't take into account transient boaters who don't need to register. Public access to waterways has eroded substantially due to development.

The PowerPoint presentation is available digitally or in printed form upon request.

In response to an inquiry from Martha Long, Dr. Swett stated the Brevard County data is completed and is being compiled for analysis.

Susan Canada noted the study shows Brevard County very short on ramps for public. Dr. Swett responded they are looking at demand and then what is best mix of access facilities. In response to Ms. Canada's inquiries of how many surveys were sent out and received and amount of parking required, Dr. Swett responded the return rate for the survey is about 25% to 30% and parking would be determined by a consulting engineering firm. Dr. Swett stated he will find out the

survey return rate and parking requirements. Mrs. Page noted the committee has a copy of the preliminary study.

In response to Francis Reilly's inquiry about insurance, Dr. Swett responded in Ft. Myers, one requirement is that anyone using the mooring fields must have insurance. The local community sets such requirements.

Terry Lane announced there will be a public meeting regarding the Comprehensive Maritime Management Master Plan on August 28 at 6:30 p.m. in the Brevard Room.

In response to inquiries from Chairman Nydam and Susan Canada regarding funding for the Florida Sea Grant, Dr. Swett responded it is mainly funded through NOAA and the federal government requires a two to one match from elsewhere which might be joint with state agencies. The Brevard County study was funded from strictly government funds through the FWCC and Brevard County. As to being affected by cutbacks, the project is mostly finished.

Chairman Nydam asked how this mooring field study is different from how boats are moored now at the marina. Dr. Swett responded he hasn't studied that, but usually it is a community or a not-for-profit that sees the need and works to get it established. Communities are looking for other mechanisms to provide public access and mooring fields are a valuable way to do that. As to removing boats from mooring fields before a hurricane, Dr. Swett stated that is one of the things counties in the southwest of Florida are looking at and are creating ordinances to deal with it proactively.

In response to Susan Canada's question asking if local governments can regulate mooring fields, Dr. Swett responded he understands they can. There is a test case in Collier County, dealing with requiring mooring rather than anchoring in the river and rights to navigation. There can be regulations for mooring fields, but the question is who regulates anchoring which the case in Collier County may clarify. Ms. Canada noted a mooring field could be put in and boaters could instead anchor in the river or by an island. Dr. Swett indicated that hasn't been the case. There is a demand for either a slip in a marina or a mooring in a mooring field and as the supply is increased, it is rapidly filled up. It's getting down to supply and demand due to loss of public access. There is a big pent up demand for that.

Francis Reilly mentioned FIND grants for mooring fields that Dr. Swett said are still in existence as is the

Boating & Waterways Program through FWCC. FIND has a funding mechanism. Mr. Reilly noted they were handing out grants for mooring fields.

Public Input

Joan Wheeler stated she believes this park is a park and doesn't think we are here to design it for anything but a park. She stated she thinks equal time should be given for a specialist with a PhD in parks, not from County staff, but from the State to come in and talk about parks. She stated this is a unique park that is not for tourists or economic development or to bring business downtown. She suspected the purpose is to expand the marina. She noted there couldn't be charges for anything on that property and no concessions are allowable on that property. She had no suggestion for Mr. Reilly as to whom to ask other than a park specialist, not locally, but from the State, someone who does nothing but parks.

Bill Powell inquired about the impact mooring is having on marinas, slips, or adjacent parks that use public facilities. Dr. Swett stated that once again it depends on what is in place and how it is designed. How it is developed is up to the local community or committee. Some have access to local marinas. Some marinas set up structures on shore. Some put in bicycle racks for boaters to get to the community. Mr. Powell noted the park could be designed for access to moored or anchored boats, or we could say no and not let them in to use public parks. Mr. Powell stated he would say open it up for public use. Dr. Swett indicated it depends on what the community wants to do and noted derelict vessels led St. Augustine to develop their management plan. Mooring fields are one way to attract people to a certain area where, if the amenities are there, they will come. Dr. Swett concluded by saying that from the studies it has been found that the vast majority of boaters want to do the right thing. So, to the extent that the community can provide the mechanisms such as providing a honey boat to eliminate discharge of waste into the waterway, the negative impacts can be reduced.

Susan Canada questioned if there is a requirement to have a specific number of restrooms, showers and parking spaces for mooring fields. Dr. Swett stated he would find out. Mark Leslie noted bicycles are very popular and he doesn't anticipate a lot of parking needs. He hasn't been able to find a code for parking requirements from other communities.

Susan Canada suggested using Sand Point Park for mooring field amenities as the northeast corner has several parking spaces, a restroom facility, and it is

closer to the CRA district for shopping and to the pier concession.

Chairman Nydam asked staff what Marina Park has to do with the mooring fields. Terry Lane stated Dr. Swett was invited for educational information. There can be more discussion in the next agenda item.

John Pine stated as to what Marina Park has to do with a mooring field, he understood Dr. Swett to say if there is a mooring field, the park is planned around the mooring field for amenities, not the other way around. Mr. Pine stated he couldn't believe the State of Florida doesn't have a code for the number of parking spaces.

Francis Reilly stated, in working with the Sand Point Park Committee, he had a vision of putting a wooden walk around the point and putting some kind of dock on the west side of the north point. However, Honeycutt & Associates said there was not enough turning room for boats in the marina to have a docking facility or even a boardwalk on the leeward side of Sand Point Park.

A break of about eight minutes was taken to allow Dr. Swett to remove his equipment prior to continuing with the agenda.

B. Presentation by Honeycutt & Associates

Terry Lane reviewed the list of park amenities from the last meeting and reported Rodney Honeycutt had come back with modifications to the existing plan. The list, photos from other riverfront parks, splash parks and skate park information were on display.

Rodney Honeycutt started with a visual of the previously approved plan. He reported he tried to save as much as possible and locate the items on the list. The new plan contains one major (senior) and one minor ball field with a smaller pre-constructed concession, reoriented fields and a larger stormwater pond as a wet area for a water amenity. About an acre near the marina shows as designated for City use. A walk and a gazebo were removed, but there is still a picnic area. About 50 feet was added to the boat ramp area for at least three more boat ramps. Parking is identified and a gazebo is on the point. A seawall all the way around with walk on it is shown, but that is expensive. There is a pavilion with kitchen, a family area, and a playground, splash park, other activities such as bocce, horseshoes, a gazebo that could be an art stage with a grass area for grass seating or temporary bleachers. The restroom is larger and with showers and closer to the boat ramp area. Mr. Honeycutt stated there is an area of almost four acres

that could be for other things that could be located using cutouts with stickers to place on the drawing. Mr. Lane noted the cutouts are for discussing space such as a 100 x 100 slab for a skate park or a larger concrete contoured bowl could be done. A dog park could be maneuvered around to fit.

Susan Canada asked what was in the corner near the marina before and what the City use area is for. Mr. Lane reported a shelter, lift station for sewer and pedway and Mr. Honeycutt stated City use can be whatever the Committee wants and was something that was discussed at last meeting. Ms. Canada stated City use wasn't agreed to, wasn't on the list and shouldn't be on the drawing. Mr. Honeycutt stated he can take it out. In response to Ms. Canada's inquiry about ball field parking, Mr. Honeycutt pointed it out on the drawing and said it could be moved.

In response to an inquiry from Martha Long, Mr. Honeycutt stated the picnic areas are spread throughout. The parking is the same except 15 to 20 spaces were added. The pavilion was not sized, but just made larger than before to accommodate a kitchen area.

Francis Reilly stated he didn't feel the Committee needed to hold in stone the same list that was done at the end of the last meeting.

Susan Canada stated she would like to make a motion that City use comes off the drawing and concentrate on boat ramps for general public needs, noting Parrish Park could be used for mooring fields. The motion died for lack of second.

In response to an inquiry from Francis Reilly regarding an area for maintenance, Mr. Lane reported Sand Point Park and Chain of Lakes will handle Marina Park maintenance, and mowing equipment is stored at Sand Point Park. The same team works on Sand Point Park and Marina Park. There will be a small storage area as part of the concession at the two fields at Marina Park.

Chairman Nydam suggested going down the list and addressing each item.

Susan Canada stated that first she had a few questions because it would make a difference where items are located. Ms. Canada asked what the City area is for and what exactly is the City planning on using that property for? Mark Leslie stated he has a proposal to offer under New Business that would address Ms. Canada's questions as far as mooring fields support facilities.

Chairman Nydam suggested finishing the list and then see what space is left.

In response to inquiries from Ms. Canada, Mr. Honeycutt reported he expanded the boat ramp that is there, from 30 feet to 80 feet, from two to at least five or six ramps in an area that is already disturbed, not directly out into the river. Susan Canada made a motion try to put in more a few more boat ramps. Chairman Nydam asked if she wanted to wait until after going through the list.

Chairman Nydam noted two things, space and money limit the criteria. Martha Long asked if it is possible to add more ramps without running into marina traffic. Mark Leslie stated that he thinks that how it is drawn now is probably about right. Ms. Long stated then the action could be to see how many boat ramps could be added without creating an issue. Mr. Leslie stated that from the ramp perspective, there is room for four or five, but you are limited more by parking spaces by FWC, than the number of ramp lanes. Ms. Long inquired how many parking spaces are needed per ramp. Mr. Leslie stated the way it is set up now, Marina Park is calculated at 30 spaces for the ramp that is there today which is dictated by the Manatee Protection Plan. In North Brevard we have a very good ratio compared to South Brevard. Basically it is 100 feet of shoreline for one power boat. For every 100 feet of shoreline, there can be one power boat slip or dock space or ramp space. That is for power boats, not sail boats. The calculation Mr. Leslie knows now that has not been formalized is about 4 to 1 for North Brevard which is really good for Brevard County. There is the potential of expanding the ramp maybe double in terms of the parking spaces. The more important part of it is the number of trucks and trailers that can be parked. Sixty spaces for four ramps would probably suffice in terms of ingress and egress.

Chairman Nydam asked Mr. Lane or Mr. Leslie if either had a ballpark figure on cost per boat ramp and parking spaces. Mr. Leslie stated one of the things to look at is the permitting cost because there is so much activity already there. Martha Long questioned if the Committee should put a fixed number on boat ramps or look at how many boat ramps can be accommodated, and then look at what the parking requirement would be for those boat ramps. Ms. Long stated she is in favor of as many boat ramps as can be there, but thinks there is a physical limitation. Chairman Nydam asked if the maximum number and the dollar costs can be determined. Mr. Lane stated the market is going to dictate the cost, but this Committee can give Mr. Honeycutt the authority to

put as many as possible with the space and permitting factors played in. Mr. Lane stated he remembers hearing four ramps at the last meeting and Mr. Honeycutt tried to get five, possibly six, but has to follow all regulations. Chairman Nydam asked Mr. Leslie how many ramps he would recommend. Mr. Leslie responded as big as it can go. Mr. Honeycutt indicated he felt five or six was the maximum that could be accommodated. Chairman Nydam inquired how many parking spaces. Mr. Leslie stated if you want to go to the maximum number, go to the FWC and ask the maximum allowed and noted the mooring facility will probably be 90% or greater of those vessels being sail boats which don't enter into that criteria, along with the existing facility that is full of sail boats. This area is really in a good position compared to the rest of the County.

Mrs. Page suggested establishing priorities for what is wanted most and what is wanted least.

Francis Reilly noted many Titusville Yacht Club members were present and that Mark Leslie had something to present. Mr. Reilly suggested hearing from the public present before trying to design a park.

Motion by Francis Reilly, seconded by Susan Canada, to move to New Business to hear proposals from Mark Leslie and the Titusville Yacht Club, then come back to Old Business.
AYE: Canada, Connolly, Lafferty, Long, Nydam, Powell, Reilly
NAY: None **Carried 7-0**

V. NEW BUSINESS

Mark Leslie reported that since the last Committee meeting, City staff and consultants had a pre-application meeting with DEP regarding a mooring fields proposal to discuss what is needed for the application process which can take about two years. The City must demonstrate in the application that there is a support facility location. He stated they had evaluated both Sand Point Park point area and Marina Park area near the lift station that is close to utilities. Mr. Leslie reported he was directed to prepare a report to CRA to seek authority to use one acre in the corner adjacent to the marina basin for the facility and will seek direction for the CRA and City Council on which way to go. Mr. Leslie noted Parrish Park-Titusville does not lend itself well from an operational perspective due to staffing costs. Mr. Leslie provided the Committee a copy of and reviewed the report prepared for CRA to adopt and send to City Council.

Mrs. Polk took exception to the fact that the report was not on the agenda. It was noted Mrs. Polk was out of order and the Chairman asked Mr. Leslie to continue his presentation.

Some highlights of the report include:

- 20 to 70 boats are anchored that could sink, drift into the marina and damaging other vessels and the pier, etc. Managed mooring fields would give better control of those uses which is being favorably reviewed by the Governor's cabinet at this time.
- It would give a way to take effluent off the vessels and a way to mitigate habitat damage.
- One acre of Marina Park would be needed for the upland facility, which is less than 5% of Marina Park.
- Sand Point Park is not feasible because it would oust several users and it would require a new set of staff members.
- The mooring could be operated with existing staff if facilities were located at Marina Park.

Mr. Leslie stated he was asking for a vote up or down because he needs to move the project forward.

Ms. Canada noted the test case in Collier County mentioned by Dr. Swett, and the uncertainty of who will regulate anchorage. Mr. Leslie stated the way the statute reads, local governments are prohibited from regulating vessels outside of the marked boundaries of mooring fields which can extend beyond the submerged lands lease, but they can regulate within the marked boundaries. Ms. Canada noted some boaters want to be away from others and some may enjoy mooring fields. Ms. Canada stated she doesn't have a problem with a mooring field, but Marina Park was dedicated by the state for public use and the Marina Park Referendum is for North Brevard, not the City of Titusville. Ms. Canada noted Mr. Swett said there was no code for parking or restrooms. She read from the documents presented by Mr. Leslie, the amenities being restrooms, showers, laundry facilities, and parking for the mooring fields support facility. Ms. Canada noted the restrooms, showers, and laundry facilities were already located at the Municipal Marina. Ms. Canada stated Mr. Leslie can have her blessing on it as long as these facilities stay out of Marina Park. Ms. Canada noted nothing was stated about planning for City use in the list and she feels this was done behind the Committee's back. She stated she feels there are other things FDLE needs to look into from some of the paperwork that she has. She mentioned selection of a consultant for the mooring fields.

Francis Reilly pointed out this draft was authorized by City Council in May of 2007 and it has been kicking around Titusville for years so it is no big surprise.

Discussion ensued and the following was mentioned:

- The present Municipal Marina couldn't support the mooring fields because of lack of parking and utilities. Also the facility fills up during peak season. Support facilities could be built with grant funding and CRA ad valorem tax dollars were used once for paving parking area. (Leslie in response to Long)
- Using Sand Point Park would require four additional staff. Also there could be up to 100 dinghies and the only place to bring dinghies into Sand Point Park is the narrow cut behind the Upland Building which is an area not as well protected from east and northeast winds and waves roll in pretty good. Also the launch ramp there would basically be shut down. (Leslie)
- Although the mooring fields would generate income, more would have to be charged if additional staff needs to be hired. Cost between using the two locations has not been priced because the number of the moorings has not been determined. (Leslie in response to Long)

Susan Canada suggested putting the support facilities where the dumpsters are under the overpass.

Francis Reilly noted at Sand Point Park there is no place for dinghies as it is exposed to weather from the northeast. As to parking, he stated no one brings a car along. The ramp channel at the Upland Building at Sand Point is narrow and very shallow. At Marina Park the mooring field would be offshore, and dinghies could be accommodated comfortably.

Susan Canada asked about Parrish Park-Titusville and noted the Marine Patrol is located there. Mr. Reilly stated maybe a facility could be put on what is usually the leeward side, but a whole new facility would have to be built. Mrs. Page noted Parrish Park-Titusville might be problematic as the waterline under the bridge breaks periodically and requires providing portable toilets for the Marine Patrol. Support facilities would be very difficult to maintain there until the waterline is replaced, which may coincide with the new bridge. Mr. Powell suggested prioritizing the list and giving it to Honeycutt to cost, noting the City Council and the County Commission will have to approve the plan which they will base on what they want and how they want it done. He suggested putting priority on the top four or five items wanted by the Committee. He reported he

talked to a lot of constituents who say no showers—why needed in a passive park except associated with splash park. He spoke for making it public and keeping it public.

Francis Reilly stated boating is for the public too and noted the loss of access to waterfront property for boating. Mr. Reilly stated this could please the boaters and park users as well. He noted that very little of the park property would be needed. Mr. Powell stated he won't debate Mr. Reilly, but he has also talked to a lot of people in the last three weeks about what they want in the public park.

Motion by Bill Powell, seconded by JiJi Connolly, to go back to Old Business and prioritize the list.

AYE: Connolly, Long, Nydam, Powell

NAY: Canada, Lafferty, Reilly **Carried 4-3**

Chairman Nydam noted the need to hear public input on New Business. Pat Lafferty asked for a clarification that since the Committee was currently on new business, if the public shouldn't have an opportunity to speak. Cheryl Page clarified that the NBCPR voted that when a speaker card is completed for an item on the agenda, public comment is taken at that time.

Public Comment on New Business

John Pine compared bringing the mooring field draft to the Committee without notice to the Waterfronts Florida presentation to the NBCPR. He questioned Mr. Leslie referencing 100 dinghies when they are many fewer boats anchored in the river. He noted the stated need for parking comes down to the City wanting more parking. Mr. Pine stated Mr. Leslie said they would have to hire more people anyway. He also noted they cannot stop anyone from entering and exiting without a water column lease.

Bea Polk questioned why the item was not listed under New Business. She also noted money cannot be made on the property. She stated the City is taking part of the property and they have to go before the State to get it and change the lease. She expressed displeasure with the length of time it is taking to build Marina Park and stated this is not a City park; it is run by the County. She further stated she thinks there should be an investigation on the whole Referendum. Mrs. Polk asked Mr. Honeycutt why it was put on and who gave permission to do it. She noted so much goes on underneath the table and stated you are not going to take part of that park and give it away. She asked: "Do you

want me to start a petition now? Why pay our money for man to draw it up?"

Joan Wheeler asked Mr. Leslie if he has paperwork on his statement that the governor and cabinet are in favor of this. She stated you can take it to the CRA and can take anything from Marina Park, but there is to be no concession. Restrooms are a necessity, and it probably would be good to have it close to the marina to keep eyes on it. Showers aren't needed unless there is water activity in the park such as a swimming pool or water park. She likened this to Hitler giving a speech where all he wanted was peace—a piece of Denmark, etc. They want a little piece now. Ms. Wheeler stated she wants to keep Marina Park a park that looks like a park.

Francis Reilly asked staff if items are listed under new business. Terry Lane stated they are when it is known because staff likes to let the public know as much in advance as possible, but staff, committee members and the public have brought things up in the past.

Matt Bandilla stated he came here by boat eight years ago, anchored, took a look, and bought a house in Titusville. He noted mooring fields are anchorage as opposed to dropping an anchor. Most anchored boats are not well secured, don't have to be registered and cost the most money in the State. This can be corrected with a mooring field. As far as using the cut by the Upland Building, it won't accommodate many boats and it rises and falls a foot and a half in wind from the northeast. He noted seven boats broke anchor in one storm, and uninsured boats went through the bridge and fishing pier. He spoke for the need for facilities at Marina Park.

Susan Canada said that John Bond was here but had to leave and she stated he is with the group that bought Nelson's Marina, but due to the economy right now, they are not tearing out for condominiums, but are keeping the dry dock, dry stack and the marina that's there. Ms. Canada stated it would compete with private business if dry dock and dry stack were put in Marina Park. Ms. Canada stated he said it would stay as it is now.

Mark Leslie asked to briefly address Joan Wheeler's question and stated the City Attorney sent a letter to State Lands asking for clarification of boating type uses within in Marina Park due to the deed of dedication, a copy of which he provided. Mr. Leslie reported that when Scott Woolam, Bureau Chief of State Lands, was asked why an answer hadn't been received, the response was basically, based on correspondence received, they

were concerned the City of Titusville was wanting to dig out Marina Park and put in a yacht club and charge \$100,000 per slip. Mr. Leslie assured the Committee that isn't what they want to do, but rather build the mooring fields and have a small piece of Marina Park for the upland facility. In an email today from Scott Woolam states that until a formal application is submitted, they can't make decision on deed dedication. The City of Sarasota just permitted mooring fields in Sarasota Bay. Mr. Leslie made available minutes of cabinet meetings with very encouraging comments.

Susan Canada stated she is not opposed to mooring fields, but she is opposed to support facilities in Marina Park.

Martha Long stated she regretted having to leave for a previous commitment, and said that when the discussion goes back to old business, there is nothing on list she would want to throw out. She stated her assumption is that what goes into Marina Park will be what can be afforded. She spoke for the widest arrangement for the public. Her first priority would be a splash park, and her last interest would be the dog park. She stated if there is a vote on the mooring facility on Marina Park, her vote would be no. Mrs. Long left the meeting at 8:40 p.m.

Bill Powell asked how anchoring would be stopped. Mr. Leslie stated they would have authority to regulate within the marked boundaries of the mooring fields. Susan Canada stated the Marine Patrol said you can't do that on State regulated waters. Mr. Leslie stated they would probably use a City officer or the Sheriff's Department. It could only be regulated in the mooring fields. There is some legislation in progress to define some of the laws and set up a model anchoring ordinance that local governments can adopt to regulate anchoring. Mr. Leslie stated he is hearing early on that for those communities that do provide adequate access, they will be in favor of that locality having an ordinance that they can enforce.

IV. OLD BUSINESS, continued from earlier in meeting.
B. Presentation by Honeycutt & Associates, continued

Motion by Bill Powell, seconded by JiJi Connolly, to prioritize the list.
AYE: Canada, Connolly, Lafferty, Nydam, Powell
NAY: Reilly **Carried 5-1**

Several methods of prioritizing the list were discussed.

Francis Reilly requested mooring field support facility be added to the list.

Whether or not to combine boat and canoe ramps was discussed. There was general discussion that boat ramps and canoe ramps would be the top priority. A splash park would be number two.

It was finally decided to consider each item and by raise of hand vote 1 if really want it there, 2 for like it if it can be there, and 3 for bottom of the list.

Bill Powell asked about the seawall being built back on land to step it and diminish waves. Mr. Honeycutt stated it can be done either way, but a step one would cost a good bit more. In response to Mr. Powell's question of how good they are, Mr. Honeycutt stated he would have to do more study to know how many waves and pitch.

Mr. Honeycutt noted if there is one field and a one-acre dog park, there would be area left over.

Susan Canada's motion to put the dog park near the Municipal Marina died for lack of second.

In response to Bill Powell's inquiry about maintaining dog parks, Don Lusk stated they will be maintained, but unattended, and there may be a fee. Chairman Nydam suggested the fee being part of the dog registration tag. Francis Reilly suggested having a club associated with the dog park, which Don Lusk noted hadn't worked out well in other areas. Chairman Nydam suggested the dog park be towards the water, near the retention area, because it is cooler for the dogs. Francis Reilly stated people using the dog park will pick up after the dogs. Susan Canada asked if a small dog walk could be put in the southwest corner of the park near the Municipal Marina.

Mrs. Page read the results as shown in the motion below. Chart is shown at end of the minutes.

Mr. Powell recommended Marina Park remain a passive park. It was noted that ball fields are not passive. Discussion ensued.

Francis Reilly left at 9:15 p.m.

Motion by Bill Powell, seconded by Pat Lafferty, to approve the priority list as shown on the board which was as follows:

- 1 – Restrooms**
 - 2 – Boat ramps & one senior field**
 - 3 – Canoe ramp, dog park (1 acre), pavilions, gazebo horseshoes**
 - 4 – Splash park, playground, matching seawall, bocce**
 - 5 – Mini performing stage, skateboard ramp, mooring fields support facility with showers.**
 - 6 – Both senior and minor fields, extra parking**
- AYE: Canada, Connolly, Lafferty, Nydam, Powell,**
NAY: None **Carried 5-0**
-
-

Chairman Nydam inquired how Marina Park parcel lists with the Property Appraiser. Susan Canada and Cheryl Page responded it is a state dedication to the City of Titusville for recreational purposes.

Susan Canada suggested not losing the fact that this is a North Brevard Referendum, not a City of Titusville Referendum, and should be for everyone to enjoy.

Martha Long rejoined the meeting.

Terry Lane stated he was hoping to give Mr. Honeycutt direction to come back with a conceptual plan for the Committee to review. Mr. Lane asked if there is a cutoff point on the list if it doesn't all fit.

Chairman Nydam indicated JiJi Connolly needed leave and wanted to say something. Both she and Chairman Nydam suggested instructing Mr. Honeycutt to design the park based on space limitations first and money limitations second.

At this point there was considerable discussion over whether or not a motion could be made to remove the mooring fields support facilities from the list. Terry Lane noted that earlier in the meeting Mark Leslie asked for a vote up or down. Don Lusk stated it would be good for Mr. Honeycutt and staff to know if the support facility is in or out.

Motion by Susan Canada, seconded by Bill Powell if motion is in order, to remove the mooring fields support facilities from the list.
AYE: Canada, Connolly, Lafferty, Long, Powell
NAY: Nydam **Carried 5-1**

Ms. Connolly left the meeting shortly after the above vote.

V. NEW BUSINESS, heard above.

VI. PUBLIC INPUT

Joan Wheeler asked to hear who voted for and who voted against the last motion for clarification and personal knowledge. She was given the results.

Luis Delgado, from the Titusville Sailing Club that has interest in the waterfront, noted there are a lot of things in the park that don't need to be by the water such as baseball and walking a dog. Mr. Delgado stated, speaking personally, as a member of the yacht club and sailing club, he supported the mooring fields and stated that using 5% of the park is insignificant. He further commented about the skate park in that the kids will say that there is no skate park in the City. The City is a skate park. If not at Marina Park, a skate park needs to be somewhere, with which Susan Canada agreed.

John Pine stated it is funny that "they" want a one-acre section of Marina Park at the southwest corner and that the facility for the mooring fields is within 150 feet of the facilities for the Municipal Marina. Mr. Pine stated it seems like all they want is parking for the marina. He suggested finding a way that Mr. Honeycutt cannot do what the City says on the plans.

Martha Long asked what will be seen at the next meeting. Mr. Lane stated that Mr. Honeycutt will come back with a plan without the mooring fields support facility and will add as much of the list as possible where there is room in the priority given.

Chairman Nydam suggested putting the skate park to the front of the area. where it could be more visible. Martha Long asked for the parking to be moved off the water.

Terry Lane reported Mr. Honeycutt said he would need four to six weeks for a preliminary design with cost estimates.

Motion by Martha Long, seconded by Susan Canada, to move the boat parking off the water and open up as much of the waterfront as possible for public use and enjoyment.
AYE: Canada, Lafferty, Long, Powell
NAY: Nydam **Carried 4-1**

Susan Canada suggested moving the parking by the splash park so children from the ballfield don't have to walk through parking lot as it doesn't seem safe. Mr. Honeycutt agreed he can take out some of the parking.

Bill Powell asked what was meant for public use. Martha Long explained it was to move parking off prime real estate. Chairman Nydam noted the need for space to maneuver boat trailers. In response to an inquiry from Mr. Powell, Mr. Honeycutt stated it would not be difficult to move parking away from the waterfront. Mr. Powell mentioned more access to the point. Susan Canada suggested spaces wide enough for a novice parking a boat trailer.

It was agreed to establish the next meeting date when the plan is ready. In response to an inquiry from Martha Long, Terry Lane agreed something would be sent to the Committee to look at prior to the next meeting.

VII. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 9:42 p.m. at the motion by Bill Powell, seconded by Susan Canada.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul Nydam, Chairman

jbi

Priority for Amenities at Marina Park

Item	Want	Desirable	Not	Rank
Boat Ramp	5	1	0	2
Canoe Ramp	4	0	2	3
Splash Park	3	0	3	4
Playground	3	0	3	4
1 Senior Field	5	1	0	2
Both Fields	1	0	5	6
Mini-performing Stage	2	0	4	5
Restroom	6	0	0	1
Dog Park 1 acre = 5 votes 1.5 acres = 1 vote	4	1	1	3
Pavilions	4	2	0	3
Gazebos	4	0	2	3
Matching Seawall	3	0	3	4
Skateboard Ramp	2	0	4	5
Extra Parking	1	0	5	6
Bocce	3	0	3	4
Horseshoes	4	0	2	3
Mooring Field Support Facilities w/ Showers	2	0	4	5