

PROPOSALS FOR CONSIDERATION ON COMPOSITION

PROS	CONS
1. <u>MAINTAIN CURRENT COMPOSITION OF COMMISSION</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All geographic regions are represented • Cost less to candidates • Encourages candidates to become involved for financial reasons 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Disincentive to think as a County • Dampens decision on merit
2. <u>ADD (2) TWO COMMISSIONERS AT-LARGE</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase countywide perspective • Does not disrupt current configuration 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increases costs • Expands government • Coalition becomes harder
3. <u>RECONFIGURE COMMISSION TO HAVE (3) THREE ELECTED COMMISSIONERS & (2) TWO AT-LARGE</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Balance • No expansion of government 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potentially creates 3 out of 5 coalition from one district • Increase costs to candidates
4. <u>FOUR ELECTED COMMISSIONERS & (1) ONE AT-LARGE</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No expansion of government • Increase countywide perspective • No 3 out of 5 coalition 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not significant enough for countywide perspective • Increase costs to candidates
5. <u>FIVE AT-LARGE COMMISSIONERS – NO RESIDENCY RESTRICTION IN THE COUNTY</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No expansion of government • Maximize countywide perspective • Positive approach to coalition 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase costs to candidates • All geographic regions may not be represented
6. <u>FIVE AT-LARGE WITH A DISTRICT RESIDENCY REQUIREMENT</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All geographic regions are represented • Provides countywide perspective • Balance benefit from proposal 1-5 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase costs to candidates • Non district area potential adversely impact an income within a district
7. <u>THREE MEMBER COMMISSION BY DISTRICT</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All geographic regions are represented • Cost less to candidates • Encourages candidates to become involved for financial reasons 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Disincentive to think as a County • Dampens decision on merit
8. <u>THREE MEMBER COMMISSION, ONE (1) AT-LARGE, TWO (2) ELECTED DISTRICTS</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Balance • No expansion of government 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potentially creates 3 out of 5 coalition from one district • Increase costs to candidates
9. <u>THREE MEMBER COMMISSION, ALL AT LARGE</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No expansion of government • Maximize countywide perspective • Positive approach to coalition 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase costs to candidates • All geographic regions may not be represented

PROPOSALS FOR CONSIDERATION ON COMPOSITION

10. THREE MEMBER COMMISSION, ALL AT-LARGE
WITH RESIDENCY RESTRICTION

- All geographic regions are represented
- Provides countywide perspective
- Balance benefit from proposal 1-5

- Increase costs to candidates
- Non district area potential adversely impact an income within a district